

STATE OF INDIANA



INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306
INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764

<http://www.state.in.us/iurc/>
Office: (317) 232-2701
Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

IN THE MATTER OF THE VERIFIED JOINT)
PETITION OF HARRISON RURAL ELECTRIC)
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION AND PSI)
ENERGY, INC., FOR APPROVAL BY THE)
INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY)
COMMISSION OF CHANGES IN THE)
ELECTRICITY SERVICE AREA BOUNDARIES)
WITHIN U.S.G.S. FACET NOS. Q-29-1 AND)
R-29-1, AND FOR EMERGENCY APPROVAL)
OF THE CONSENT BY PSI FOR HARRISON)
RURAL ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP)
CORPORATION TO SERVE CUSTOMERS)
WITHIN A PART OF PSI'S ASSIGNED)
SERVICE AREA ON U.S.G.S. FACET NO.)
Q-29-1, SUBJECT TO AND PENDING)
APPROVAL OF THE CHANGED BOUNDARY)
LINES.)

FILED

APR 26 2005

INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE NO. 42772

You are hereby notified that on this date the Presiding Officers in this Cause make the following Entry:

On March 24, 2005, Harrison Rural Electric Membership Corporation ("Harrison REMC") filed Proof of Publication in this Cause of the notice required in I.C. 8-1-2.3-6(2), in partial compliance with the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission's ("Commission's") March 2, 2005 Interim Order in this Cause. However, certain information in the notice, published January 19, 2005, in the *Corydon Democrat*, appears to be inconsistent with certain statements made in the *Amended Verified Joint Petition* filed in this Cause on February 21, 2005. The notice published in the *Corydon Democrat* states that the two relevant service areas that Harrison REMC and Cinergy, Inc. (PSI Energy, Inc.) have agreed to exchange consist of approximately 69 acres in Westridge Forest Subdivision and approximately 60 acres in Lakeside Forest Subdivision, in Floyd County, Indiana. However, the *Amended Verified Joint Petition* states that the relevant service area in Westridge Forest Subdivision consists of 25 acres and the relevant service area in Lakeside Forest Subdivision consists of 22 acres.

Joint Petitioners should explain this apparent discrepancy as to the size of the two service areas at issue and make any further amendments, as necessary, to their *Amended Verified Joint Petition*, so that the Commission has before it accurate and consistent information as to the service area boundary changes that are the subject of this proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

David W. Hadley
David W. Hadley, Commissioner

William G. Divine
William G. Divine, Administrative Law Judge

4-26-05

Date